



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/105,844	06/26/1998	USHA UPADHYAYULA	INTL-0055(P5 6060	
7	590 09/11/2002			
TIMOTHY N TROP			EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU 8554 KATY FREEWAY STE 100 HOUSTON, TX 77024			ALAUBAIDI, HAYTHIM J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Symmony	09/105,844	UPADHYAYULA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haythim J. Alaubaidi	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>lune 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/105,844 Page 2

Art Unit: 2171

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

### **EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT**

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below.

The application has been amended as follows:

i. Claims 30-36 have been effectively canceled per Applicant's comments at page 4, line 8, in the response filed June 26, 2002.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Independent Claims 1, 7, 14 and 22, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gary K. Starkweather (U.S. Patent No. 5,694,227 and Starkweather hereinafter).
  - Regarding Claims 1 and 7, Starkweather teaches:
     receiving a graphical object having associated image information (Col 2,
     Lines 18-19; see also Col 4, Line 45, i.e. receives the color image data)

Application/Control Number: 09/105,844

Art Unit: 2171

generating the device profile (Col 4, Lines 59-66, i.e. transforms the device independent color space 14 to a device profile 15; see also Col 8, Lines 19, generate a device profile)

identifying the device profile to a color management system (Col 4, Lines 66-67 through Col 5, Line 1; see also Col 7, Lines 43-48)

b. Regarding Claim 14, the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejected claims 1 and 7, above. In addition Starkweather teaches:

a computer system having a bus (Col 7, Line 7)

a device, operatively coupled to the bus (Col 7, Line 5, i.e. processor 11 connected to sensor 20)

a generator to generate a device profile (Col 7, Lines 34-36).

c. Regarding Claim 22, Starkweather teaches:

Image part and data part (Col 7, Lines 52-53, i.e. article 18; see also i.e. make data adjustments)

comparing and selectively generating (Col 8, Lines 20-26, i.e. this generation of a device profile may result in a newly generated device profile or may involve only the adjustment of an existing device profile as determined by the color transform engine 53 in step 80).

Application/Control Number: 09/105,844 Page 4

Art Unit: 2171

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gary K. Starkweather (U.S. Patent No. 5,694,227 and Starkweather hereinafter) and further in view of Carl Douglas Hayes, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,283,858 and Hayes hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 15, Starkweather reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate a device such as a digital camera, even though the reference does in fact talk about different devices (Col 1, Line 31). However Hayes teaches a device such as a digital camera (Col 3, Lines 62-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Starkweather with the teachings of Hayes to include a device such as a digital camera with the motivation to generate images with such details just as in real life (Hayes, Col 5, Lines 25-29).

Regarding Claim 21, Starkweather reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate a second circuit, operatively coupled to the device. However Hayes teaches a circuit, operatively coupled to the device (Col 3, Lines 66-67 through Col 4, Line 1). It would have been obvious to a

Application/Control Number: 09/105,844

**Art Unit: 2171** 

person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Starkweather with the teachings of Hayes to include a circuit, operatively coupled to the device with the motivation to link and process the photographs or the physical images into data received by the computer (Hayes, Col 4, Lines 2-3).

7. Claims 1, 7 and 14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroyuki Sakuyama et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,226,011 and Sakuyama hereinafter) and further in view of Gary K. Starkweather (U.S. Patent No. 5,694,227 and Starkweather hereinafter).

Regarding Independent Claims 1,7 and 14, Sakuyama reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate generating a device profile. However Starkweather teaches generating a device profile (Col 4, Lines 59-66, i.e. transforms the device independent color space 14 to a device profile 15; see also Col 8, Lines 19, generate a device profile). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Sakuyama with the teachings of Starkweather to include generating a device profile in order to set the measurements or the characteristics of the image to be used in presenting this image to an output device.

8. Claim 22, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christopher J. Edge (U.S. Patent No. 6,088,038 and Edge hereinafter) and further in

Art Unit: 2171

view of Gary K. Starkweather (U.S. Patent No. 5,694,227 and Starkweather hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 22, Edge reference discloses all of the claimed subject matter set forth above, except it does not explicitly indicate selectively generating a current device profile. However Starkweather teaches selectively generating a current device profile (Col 8, Lines 20-26, i.e. this generation of a device profile may result in a newly generated device profile or may involve only the adjustment of an existing device profile as determined by the color transform engine 53 in step 80). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Edge with the teachings of Starkweather to include selectively generating the device profile in order to select one of the device profiles depending on the output device, i.e. display 19 or printer 17 as different output devices require different device profiles (Starkweather, Col 7, Lines 34-38).

#### Response to Amendment

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to independent Claims 1, 7, 14 and 22, have been fully considered and found persuasive. However, Applicant's amendment filed on June 26, 2002 has necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action

.Application/Control Number: 09/105,844

Art Unit: 2171

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Other Prior Art Made of Record

- 11. A. Falk (US Patent No. 6,141,120) discloses color calibration method and system having independent color scanner profiles;
- B. Rozzi (US Patent No. 6,232,954) discloses arrangement for high-accuracy colorimetric characterization of display devices and method therefor;
- C. Ohtsuka (US Patent No. 5,748,858) discloses method of and system for predicting reproduced color image;

Application/Control Number: 09/105,844

Art Unit: 2171

D. Rozzi (US Patent No. 6,072,589) Arrangement for efficient characterization of printing devices and method therefor;

E. Hidaka (US Patent No. 6,344,900) discloses image processing apparatus, method and recording system for providing color matching between a light source and a material color;

- F. Hidaka (US Patent No. 6,240,204) discloses image data conversion on the basis of observation light of an output image;
- G. Shinsky et al. (US Patent No. 6,285,398) discloses charge-coupled device video camera with raw data format output and software implemented camera signal processing; and
- H. Kohler et al. (US Patent No. 5,646,752) discloses color image processing apparatus which uses private tags to alter a predefined color transformation sequence of a device profile.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Page 9

### **Points of Contact**

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haythim J. Alaubaidi whose telephone number is (703) 305-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436.

Any response to this office action should be mailed to:

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231 or telefax at our phone number (703) 746-7416.

For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Haythim J. Alaubaidi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 August 30, 2002

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100